

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PRINCE PAUL RAYMOND WILLIAMS,
Plaintiff,
v.
AETNA, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00321-NONE-EPG
ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
(ECF No. 2)

Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* pursuant in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and has requested leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (ECF No. 2). Plaintiff has made the showing required by § 1915(a) and accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted.

Plaintiff is advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Court must conduct an initial review of a *pro se* complaint filed *in forma pauperis* to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The Court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the Court determines that the complaint is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the Court determines that the complaint fails

1 to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent that the deficiencies in the complaint
2 can be cured by amendment. The complaint will be screened in due course and Plaintiff will be
3 served with the resulting order.

4 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma*
5 *pauperis* (ECF No. 2) is GRANTED.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.
7

8 Dated: March 8, 2021

9 /s/ *Eric P. Groj*
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28